

New Introduction to Parkes, Field & Parkes
(Chapman 1982)

Metaphysical commitments: Volume presupposes
about the physical world that he takes
the physical theory.

we are here regarding theory as formalism +
interpretation.

Now we are assuming realism: 10. ^{interpretation} states
if a theory is true or false in virtue of
whether the propositions they assert correspond
to states of affairs in an objective
external world, which exists independently
of the observer, perhaps a ghostly
of human minds being (minds).

Usual situation is one of interpretive underdetermination.

10. a given formalism is consistent with
various metaphysical packages, but
there are no reasons to entice logical grounds
for preferring one of these packages.

[Context structural underdetermination where
different formalisms are consistent with empirical data
e.g. is time continuous or dense]

ATO

But note some metaphysics perhaps
may be robbed out

eg. Determinism + h.v. + Locust uDM

It is the sup in which we may
be said to be expansive
metaphysics.

Entirely depr of metaphysics as
unfathomable beyond experience,
non-centrist careless and
necessary truth - (I am not
deduction of certain features of our
expansive denial not metaphysics according
to Kant) - It is the affirmation
of Rationalist metaphysics.